Research Note

Differentiation of Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides of Equus burchelli from Cylicocyclus insigne of Equus caballus (Strongyloidea: Nematoda)

J. RALPH LICHTENFELS,^{1,4} VITALIY A. KHARCHENKO,² TATIANA A. KUZMINA,² AND ROSINA C. KRECEK³

¹ Animal Parasitic Diseases Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, The Henry A. Wallace Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, U.S.A. (e-mail: rlichten@anri.barc.usda.gov),

² I. I. Schmalhausen Institute of Zoology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, vul. B.Khmelnyts'kogo 15,

Kyiv, Ukraine 01601. (e-mail: vit@nas.gov.ua and rhabdias@carrier.kiev.ua), and

³ Department of Zoology and Entomology, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa. (e-mail: krecek@icon.co.za)

ABSTRACT: Specimens of *Cylicocyclus insigne* (Boulenger, 1917) from *Equus caballus* and *Cylicocyclus gyalocepha-loides* Ortlepp, 1938 from *Equus burchelli* were studied to determine whether they should be recognized as separate

- ?1 species. Both are relatively large species with broader than deep buccal capsules with thick, concave walls and a distinct basal external ring; a large esophageal funnel without a thick cuticular lining; and an excretory pore and cervical papillae
- ?2 at or near the junction of the esophagus and intestine. Although the specimens of *C. gyalocephaloides* are as long or longer than *C. insigne*, the former have a longer esophagus, males have shorter spicules and a longer gubernaculum, and females have a shorter vagina. In addition, the dorsal papillae of the genital cone of *C. gyalocephaloides* are more slender than those of *C. insigne* and bilateral spines present on the genital cones of *C. insigne* were not found on the available specimens of *C. gyalocephaloides*. It was concluded that sufficient morphological differences are present between *C. insigne* of *E. caballus* and *C. gyalocephaloides* of *E. burchelli* to recognize and distinguish both nematode species.


KEY WORDS: Nematoda, Strongyloidea, Cyathostominea, cyathostomins, *Cylicocyclus insigne, Cylicocyclus gyaloce-phaloides, Equus caballus*, horse, *Equus burchelli*, zebra, donkey, systematics, nomenclature, Africa.

Among the 52 nematode species of the tribe Cyathostominea, strict host specificity for species of equids is not common (Lichtenfels et al., 1998). However, several species are known only from 1 species of host. The cosmopolitan species, *Cylicocy-clus insigne* (Boulenger, 1917), has been reported (see checklist of Round [1968]) from horses, donkeys, and zebras in Africa. Others (Boulenger, 1920; Ortlepp, 1938; Krecek et al., 1987) recognized *C. insigne*–like nematodes from zebras as separate species. Boulenger (1917) described *C. insigne* from the horse, *Equus*


caballus. He later (Boulenger, 1920) described Cylicocyclus zebrae Boulenger, 1920 from a "zebra." Subsequently, Boulenger (1921) synonymized C. zebrae with C. insigne. Most workers followed Boulenger's (1921) synonomy (see Round [1968]) until the description of Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides Ortlepp, 1938 again proposed that the C. insigne-like nematodes of the horse and zebras are separate species. Subsequently, C. gyalocephaloides has been reported only rarely. Krecek et al. (1987) reported C. gyalocephaloides from Equus burchelli (Burchell's zebra) from Kruger National Park in South Africa, and Oliveira et al. (1994) reported both C. gyalocephaloides and C. insigne from Equus asinus (donkey) from Brazil. Lichtenfels et al. (1998) placed C. gyalocephaloides on a list of species inquirendae and recommended that it be restudied to determine whether it could be differentiated from C. insigne.

As part of a revision of the systematics of the Cyathostominea of equids, we studied all available specimens, including types, of C. gyalocephaloides and compared them with specimens of C. insigne. We obtained 5 females and 2 males of the type series of C. gyalocephaloides from the National Collection of Animal Helminths, University of Pretoria, Onderstepoort, South Africa (formerly the Onderstepoort Helminthological Collection) (Collection number T.2099). We also studied 8 females from E. burchelli (United States National Parasite Collection [USNPC] 91995) reported by Krecek et al. (1987). In addition, we discovered in the USNPC (47008) 20 specimens, including 4 males, identified by J. T. Lucker as C. insigne, that were collected in 1948 by A. Loveridge in Nyasaland, Africa (Malawi) from E. burchelli. We found the above 2 lots from zebras to be conspecific with the type specimens of C. gyalocephaloides. We were unable to locate any records of the types of C. insigne or C. zebrae (Gibbons and Harris, personal

⁴ Corresponding author.



Figures 1–7. *Cylicocyclus insigne*. 1. Esophageal region, ventral view, showing shape of esophagus and positions of ventral excretory pore and lateral cervical papillae at base of esophagus. 2. Male tail, ventral view. 3. Male tail, lateral view. 4. Male genital cone, ventral view. 5. Male genital cone and gubernaculum, lateral view. 6. Distal ends of spicules, ventral view, showing pick-like tips on each spicule. 7. Female tail, lateral view.



Figures 8–14. *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*. 8. Esophageal region, ventral view, showing shape of esophagus and positions of ventral excretory pore and lateral cervical papillae at base of esophagus. 9. Male tail, ventral view. 10. Male tail, lateral view. 11. Male genital cone, ventral view. 12. Male genital cone and gubernaculum, lateral view. 13. Distal ends of spicules, ventral view, showing pick-like tips on each spicule. 14. Female tail, lateral view.

Character						Cylicocyclus insigne	
	Type series		licocyclus gyalocep USNPC 91995	USNPC 47008		Institute of Zoology NAS of Ukraine	
	$\frac{1}{3}(N=2)$	Q(N = 5)	$\frac{\varphi(N=8)}{\varphi(N=8)}$	් (N = 5)	♀ (N = 9)	$\frac{0.000}{0.00000000000000000000000000000$	$\frac{1}{2} (N = 31)$
L Body (mm)	9.0	6.5-11.0	12.5-16.0	10.0-14.0	11.0-16.0	10.2-12.8	10.4-15.2
E Body (mm)	2.0	(8.9 ± 1.6)	(14.8 ± 1.1)	(12.7 ± 1.6)	(13.8 ± 1.8)	(11.6 ± 0.7)	(13.0 ± 1.0)
W Body	687-707	746-805	687-805	864-982	982-1,080	472-688	406-936
	(697)	(770 ± 26)	(766 ± 38)	(907 ± 47)	$(1,045 \pm 36)$	(593 ± 67)	(785 ± 114)
W buccal capsule	195-220	146-259	195–244	185-224	205-254	138-188	175–264
	(207)	(208 ± 41)	(223 ± 19)	(210 ± 15)	(231 ± 15)	(163 ± 11)	(211 ± 28)
D buccal capsule	68	73–88	68–102	83–98	93-107	53-81	65-85
		(80 ± 6)	(85 ± 11)	(91 ± 6)	(100 ± 4)	(66 ± 5)	(76 ± 5)
L esophagus	820-869	888-1,015	956-1,044	962-1,060	1,060-1,198	525-872	861-1,112
	(844)	(955 ± 47)	(994 ± 29)	$(1,013 \pm 47)$	$(1,134 \pm 52)$	(819 ± 73)	(985 ± 56)
W esophagus	342	244–342	293-342	317-342	293-386	Ì,	· · · · · ·
		(305 ± 39)	(322 ± 16)	(336 ± 11)	(352 ± 26)		
Cervical papillae		878-976	878-1,074	917-1005	942-1237	558-888	760-960
to anterior end		(913 ± 46)	$(1,015 \pm 62)$	(968 ± 33)	$(1,085 \pm 83)$	(731 ± 60)	(833 ± 58)
Excretory pore to	878-898	927-1,044	996-1,220	996-1,074	1,080-1,335	600-896	784-1,138
anterior end	(888)	(960 ± 49)	$(1,126 \pm 82)$	(1.038 ± 34)	(1.198 ± 82)	(814 ± 57)	(964 ± 75)
Nerve ring to	293-322	342-439	410-498	429-478	432-530	308-416	384-472
anterior end	(307)	(377 ± 41)	(458 ± 29)	(461 ± 19)	(478 ± 37)	(365 ± 23)	(442 ± 21)
L dorsal ray	586	(,		785-1,001	(,	598-708	,
				(923 ± 81)		(663 ± 33)	
L spicules (mm)	2.1			2.2-2.6		2.6-3.4	
				(2.4 ± 0.2)		(3.0 ± 0.2)	
L gubernaculum	293-307			342-371		248-322	
	(300)			(359 ± 11)		(287 ± 16)	
L vagina		439-976	683-927		429-1,074		747-2,136
		(709 ± 218)	(781 ± 82)		(673 ± 195)		$(1,403 \pm 393)$
Vulva to tip of tail		283-415	327-464		342-537		329-512
		(327 ± 52)	(382 ± 49)		(423 ± 66)		(426 ± 54)
L tail		122-220	122-195		176-244		163–271
		(161 ± 37)	(154 ± 27)		(221 ± 29)		(223 ± 33)
L egg		92–98	79–98		92-110		73–96
		(93 ± 3)	(90 ± 5)		(100 ± 7)		(85 ± 6)
W egg		49-61	49-61		55-61		41-53
		(55 ± 4)	(53 ± 6)		(60 ± 2)		(45 ± 3)
L vestibulum		78–137	88-146		98-107		62-105
		(105 ± 22)	(101 ± 19)		(100 ± 5)		(86 ± 9)
L sphincter		205-293	283-371		322-439		171-304
		(244 ± 33)	(338 ± 27)		(372 ± 37)		(236 ± 41)
L infundibulum		176-273	244-371		293-390		208-396
		(221 ± 39)	(304 ± 38)		(337 ± 38)		(294 ± 41)

Table 1. Comparative measurements*† of different lots of *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides* from zebras (probably all *Equus burchelli*) and *Cylicocylus insigne* from *Equus caballus*.


* D, depth; L, length; W, width.

[†] Measurements are presented as range values followed parenthetically by mean ± SE. All measurements are presented in micrometers unless otherwise noted.

communication). Specimens of *C. insigne* that we studied included specimens of 4 lots from *E. caballus* from Kazakhstan (1 lot, USNPC 93167; 3 lots, G. M. Dvojnos Collection, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine) and 2 lots from *E. caballus* from Philippines and United States (USNPC 50862 and 73046). We attempted to include specimens of *C. insigne* from other hosts from Africa, but

all available lots were redetermined by us as other species. Three lots of specimens identified as *C. zebrae* collected from *E. burchelli* in Africa were borrowed from the Natural History Museum, London, U.K., but were found to be other species.

Our comparative studies used interference-contrast light microscopy of whole specimens cleared in phenol–alcohol (80% melted phenol crystals in 20%



absolute ethanol). Drawings (Figs. 1–14) were prepared with the aid of a camera lucida. Photomicrographs were made with a digital camera, and the halftone plates (Figs. 15–28) were prepared using Adobe Photoshop. All measurements are given in microns unless otherwise indicated.

The results of our comparisons of type specimens of C. gyalocephaloides and additional specimens from zebras with specimens of C. insigne from E. caballus indicate that the specimens from the 2 hosts are very similar and share many characteristics (Figs. 1-28; Table 1). Both are relatively large species with broader than deep buccal capsules with thick, concave walls and a distinct basal external ring; a large esophageal funnel without a thick cuticular lining; and an excretory pore and cervical papillae at or near the junction of the esophagus and intestine. The specimens of C. gyalocephaloides are as long or longer than C. insigne, but the former have a longer esophagus, males have shorter spicules and a longer gubernaculum, and females have a shorter vagina (Table 1). In addition, the dorsal papillae of the genital cones of C. gyalocephaloides (Figs. 11, 12, 27, 28) are more slender than those of C. insigne (Figs. 4, 5, 25, 26), and bilateral spines present on the genital cones of C. insigne (Figs. 5, 26) were not found on the available specimens of C. gyalocephaloides.

The differences between the lots from zebras and those from horses suggest that they are different species. Although it is possible that differences in measurements of nematodes can result from being in a different host, such host effects often result in concordant reductions in body lengths and reduced development of reproductive organs (Knight, 1968). Conversely, using experimental infections, Lichtenfels (1971) showed that a nematode in an unusual host may have increased body lengths; but, in both stunted and larger specimens resulting from short-term host change, spicule size paralleled body size. In the case of *C. gyalocephaloides* in zebras, the spe-


cimens are clearly more robust in size, but spicules and vaginas are shorter, and the gubernaculum is longer.

On a practical basis, distinguishing between these 2 similar species can be done initially on the basis of host species. There is little evidence that *C. gyalocephaloides* is commonly found anywhere but in zebras (probably all *E. burchelli*) in Africa (but see Oliveira et al. [1994]), and we suspect that records of *C. insigne* in zebras may be *C. gyalocephaloides* instead. When a large species of *Cylicocyclus* is found in zebras, with characteristics similar to *C. insigne* (large, thinly lined esophageal funnel with excretory pore and cervical papillae near the junction of the esophagus and intestine), the spicule and vagina lengths can be used to distinguish between *C. gyalocephaloides* and *C. insigne*.

Other large species of Cylicocyclus reported from Africa include: Cylicocyclus elongatus elongatus (Looss, 1900), which can be distinguished by a thickly lined esophageal funnel, a cylindrical rather than club-shaped esophagus, and excretory pore and cervical papillae near the nerve ring; Cylicocyclus auriculatus (Looss, 1900), which can be distinguished by its exceptionally long lateral cephalic papillae (amphids), its unique submedian papillae with exceptionally long, thin tips, and a small esophageal funnel with a thick cuticular lining; and Cylicocyclus adersi (Boulenger, 1920), which can be distinguished by its much smaller ring at the base of the buccal capsule, a relatively small esophageal funnel with a thick cuticular lining, and a short but distinct dorsal gutter (Kharchenko et al., 2004).

Most of the large species of *Cylicocyclus* discussed in this study are common parasites of *E. asinus* or *E. burchelli* in Africa; exceptions being *C. insigne* and *Cylicocyclus elongatus kotlani* Ihle, 1920. It is tempting to speculate that this group of similar species originated in Africa in donkeys and zebras, with *C. insigne* and *C. elongatus kotlani* colonizing

Figures 15–24. Photomicrographs of cephalic characteristics of *Cylicocyclus insigne* and *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*. 15. *Cylicocyclus insigne*, dorsoventral view, showing buccal capsule, lateral cephalic papillae, and elements of external leaf-crown. 16. *Cylicocyclus insigne*, right lateral view, showing buccal capsule, low mouth collar, and large esophageal funnel with a thin cuticular lining. 17. *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*, dorsoventral view, showing buccal capsule, lateral cephalic papillae, and elements of external leaf-crown projecting through the mouth. 18. *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*, lateral view, showing buccal capsule, low mouth collar, and large esophageal funnel with a thin cuticular lining. 19. *Cylicocyclus insigne*, lateral view, showing elements of internal leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of internal leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of internal leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of internal leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elements of external leaf-crown (left arrow) at top edge of buccal capsule and support (right arrow) for elemen



23

and differentiating in horses. A phylogeny of *Cylicocyclus* spp. is needed.

The question of whether the older name, *C. zebrae*, should replace *C. gyalocephaloides* was considered. Because no types of *C. zebrae* were found, we propose that it remain a forgotten name. Types of *C. gyalocephaloides* are available, and this name has been used in all recent literature for this species. In the interest of stability, the overriding principle of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, *C. gyalocephaloides* should continue as the name of this cyathostomin of *E. burchelli*.

We thank Patricia A. Pilitt, U.S. Department of Agriculture, for expert assistance in comparative morphological studies; Joop D. F. Boomker, University of Pretoria, for providing type specimens of *C. gyalocephaloides*; Lynda M. Gibbons, University of London, for providing information on type specimens of *C. insigne* and *C. zebrae*; Eileen Harris, the Natural History Museum, London, for providing specimens from zebras and information on type specimens of *C. insigne* and *C. zebrae*; and Maarten Eysker, University of Utrecht, for providing specimens from donkeys.

The research described in this publication was made possible in part by award UP1-2423-KV-02 of the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF).

LITERATURE CITED

Boulenger, C. L. 1917. Sclerostome parasites of the horse in England. Parasitology 9:203–212.

- Boulenger, C. L. 1920. On some nematode parasites of the zebra. Parasitology 12:98–107.
- Boulenger, C. L. 1921. Strongylid parasites of horses in the Punjab. Parasitology 13:315–326.
- Kharchenko, V. A., J. R. Lichtenfels, P. A. Pilitt, and R. C. Krecek. 2004. A redescription of *Cylicocyclus* adersi Boulenger, 1920 (Nematoda, Strongyloidea, Cyathostominea). Systematic Parasitology. (In press.)
- Knight, R. A. 1968. Natural infections of the sheep nematode, *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*, in Mississippi swine, and differences in specimens from sheep and swine. Journal of Parasitology 54:635–637.
- Krecek, R. C., F. S. Malan, R. K. Reinecke, and V. de Vos. 1987. Nematode parasites from Burchell's zebras in South Africa. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 23: 404–411.
- Lichtenfels, J. R. 1971. Changes in the phenotype of the rat nematode, *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*, after one and 150 nematode generations in hamsters. Journal of Parasitology 57:517–525.
- Lichtenfels, J. R., V. A. Kharchenko, R. C. Krecek, and L. M. Gibbons. 1998. An annotated checklist by genus and species of 93 species level names for 51 recognized species of small strongyles (Nematoda: Strongyloidea: Cyathostominea) of horses, asses and zebras of the world. Veterinary Parasitology 79:65–79.
- Oliveira, C. L., A. V. M. Silva, H. A. Santos, and H. M. A. Costa. 1994. Cyathostominae parasite to *Equus* asinus L. in some Brazilian states. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinaria e Zootecnia 46:51–63.
- Ortlepp, R. J. 1938. South African helminths.—V. Some avian and mammalian helminths. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Industry 11: 63–104.
- Round, M. C. 1968. Check list of the helminth parasites of African mammals. Technical Communication 38. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, Bucks, England. 252 pp.

(

Figures 25–28. Photomicrographs of genital cones of male *Cylicocyclus insigne* and *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*. **25.** *Cylicocyclus insigne*, ventral view, showing broad, paired dorsal papillae (arrows) of genital cone. **26.** *Cylicocyclus insigne*, left lateral view, showing one of paired dorsal papillae (right arrow) and cuticular spine (left arrow) ventral to the dorsal papilla. **27.** *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*, ventral view, showing slender, paired dorsal papillae (arrows) of genital cone. **28.** *Cylicocyclus gyalocephaloides*, left lateral view, showing 1 of paired dorsal papillae (arrow).